

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,281	02/02/2004	Kiriko Yamada	KYO.P0026	2209	
75	90 11/29/2006		EXAM	INER	
Renner, Kenner, Greive, Bobak, Taylor & Weber			PASCAL, LESLIE C		
Fourth Floor, First National Tower Akron, OH 44308-1456		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				0410	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/770,281	YAMADA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leslie Pascal	2613			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solid part of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	arch 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)[🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau	•	d in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		,				
Attachment		.				
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Inform) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Papei	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Art Unit: 2613

- 1. the foreign references have been considered as best understood.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al (2002/01543641).

In regard to claims 1 and 4, Green teaches a first optical wireless communications apparatus (7, which he says is connected to a TV/video display) for transmitting a pilot beam (12), a second wireless communication apparatus (3 which has a video supply apparatus/signal 14) and receiving the pilot beam (12, in box 3, figure 2). In regard to the modulator of claim 12, see paragraph 35 in which he says there may be a separate modulator. In regard to the axis, it is obvious that the axis must match in order for the system to work since optical signals are directional. In regard to the demodulator, see paragraph in which he teaches the signal is processed (demodulated). In that the second apparatus uses the signal, it would appear that the signals are "reproduced" for the second wireless apparatus. In regard to claims 2 and 5 and the remote control, see paragraph 32. in regard to claims 3 and 6, he teaches that element 3 can send status reports to the central distribution system. It is well known for users to send information with regard to their status in order to let the central distribution system know which signals to send.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,281

Art Unit: 2613

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 571-272-3032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571-272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Pascal Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613